## 1 CRITERIA: PPM

| FROM | TO | UNIT | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 200 | ppm | 40 |
| 201 | 400 | ppm | 35 |
| 401 | 600 | ppm | 30 |
| 601 | 800 | ppm | 25 |
| 801 | 1.000 | ppm | 20 |
| 1.001 | 1.200 | ppm | 15 |
| 1.201 | 1.400 | ppm | 10 |
| 1.401 | 1.600 | ppm | 5 |
| 1.601 | 1.000 .000 | ppm | 1 |

Table 1: Ranking for quality ppm
2 CRITERIA: CUSTOMER DISRUPTIONS AT THE RECEIVING PLANT, STOP SHIPS

| INCIDENT AT THE CUSTOMER | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Without incident at the customer | 0 |
| Incident at the customer | -10 |

Table 1: Ranking for customer incident, due to the supplier
3 CRITERIA: ON TIME DELIVERY PERFORMANCE

| FROM | TO | UNIT | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 79,99 | $\%$ | 1 |
| 80 | 94,99 | $\%$ | 8 |
| 95 | 100 | $\%$ | 15 |

Table 3: Ranking for on time delivery performance (on time delivery percentage)

4 CRITERIA: QUANTITY DELIVERY PERFORMANCE

| FROM | TO | UNIT | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 79,99 | $\%$ | 1 |
| 80 | 94,99 | $\%$ | 3 |
| 95 | 100 | $\%$ | 5 |

Table 4: Ranking for quantity delivery performance (percentage of exact quantities delivered)

## 5 CRITERIA: MANAGEMENT SYSTEM CERTIFICATES

| MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: |
| ISO 9001 + ISO 14001 | 5 |
| ISO 9001 | 3 |
| Supplier does not have valid <br> certificates | 1 |

Table 5: Ranking for the validity of certificates of management systems

## 6 CRITERIA: 8D REPORTING

| FROM | TO | UNIT | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 79,99 | $\%$ | 1 |
| 80 | 94,99 | $\%$ | 5 |
| 95 | 100 | $\%$ | 10 |

Table 6: Ranking for 8D reporting (percentage of 8D reports returned in time)

## 7 CRITERIA: PAYMENT TERMS

| FROM | TO | UNIT | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 30 | day | 1 |
| 31 | 60 | day | 4 |
| 61 | 90 | day | 7 |
| 91 | 365 | day | 10 |

Table 7: Ranking for payment terms

## 8 CRITERIA: PRICE COMPETITIVENESS

| PRICE COMPETITIVENESS | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: |
| By continuous improvement, supplier <br> achieves more competitive prices | 10 |
| Supplier is occasionally, at the initiative of <br> the customer willing to accept price <br> reduction | 5 |
| Supplier is not focused toward <br> continuous improvement | 1 |

Table 8: Ranking za price competitiveness

## 9 CRITERIA: TECHNICAL SUPPORT

| TECHNICAL SUPPORT | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Supplier is providing adequate technical <br> support | 5 |
| Supplier does not provide sufficient <br> technical support | 1 |

Table 9: Ranking for technical support

## 10 CRITERIA: PREMIUM FREIGHT

| PREMIUN FREIGHT | POINTS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of premiun freight $\leq 2 \%$ | 0 |
| Percentage of premiun freight $>2 \%$ | -5 |

Table 10: In case of premium freight, negative logistic points are assigned to the supplier according to the table above

The following criteria apply to carriers and service providers (calibration of measuring and testing equipment, sorting, finishing and repair of products)

## 11 CLASSIFICATION OF SUPPLIER

| CLASSIFICATION | STATUS |
| :---: | :---: |
| $90-100$ points | A supplier |
| $80-89$ points | B supplier |
| $70-79$ points | C supplier |
| $0-69$ points | D supplier |

Table 11: Classification of supplier according to achieved total points

For the classification of the supplier by status, the same table applies to the suppliers of products.

